# Effects of locomotor gaits in simulated reduced gravity environments on muscles of the leg

# Sophie Orr

Space Studies Graduate Student University of North Dakota

#### **Presentation Overview**

• Background

\_ \_ \_

- Research Question
- Hypothesis
- Methods
  - Experiment
  - ARGOS
  - Equipment & Hardware
- Conclusion
- References



<u>source</u>

# Background

- Astronauts Ed Mitchell and Gene Cernan developed skipping gaits because it seems like less effort (Jones, 2010)
- Apollo spacesuits were notoriously hard to maneuver in given that they were pressurized, making it difficult to get a full range of motion or bend their knees while standing (Carr and McGee, 2009)
- The suits exhibit a spring like quality, providing a mechanical advantage to running and skipping motions when compared to walking. (Carr and Newman, 2007).
- Computer simulations suggest skipping in fractional gravity environments is less energy, and therefore could be a prefered locomotion method on the Moon or Mars (Ackermann and van den Bogert, 2012).

# **Problems with the Background**

- Past research focuses on energy expenditure, despite this being a lesser issue in human locomotion patterns on earth.
- Scientists suggest that it is damage to dorsiflexor muscles that cause the gait transition (Hreljac et al. 2001), not energy requirements.
- Increased use of novel locomotion patterns could cause pathologies if performed over an extended period of time.
- Future training or suit design based on improper locomotion methods could further increase problems

### **Research Question**

Does skipping in fractional gravity pose a risk to astronauts on a long term mission in a fractional gravity environment?

# **Hypothesis**

There will be a measurable difference in the muscle activation between different locomotion styles, simulated gravity levels and suited/unsuited status.

#### **Research Objectives**

- Expand upon research that initially only focused on energy expenditure
- Add anthropological research to space exploration studies
- Use surface EMG and two motion capture systems for analysis
- Provide scientific analysis for use as a basis for future research

# **Methods**

Subjects will perform 3 different locomotion styles at various speeds, both suited and unsuited:

- Walking
- $\circ$  Skipping
- $\circ$  Running

# ARGOS

- The Active Response Gravity Offload System
- Located at NASA's Johnson Space Center
- Simulates Lunar and Martian gravity levels





# **Methods**

- EMG data to assess muscle use
- Motion capture data will be collected for analysis of forces related to bipedal locomotion



# **Methods**

- Measures muscle activation that is a result of nerve stimulation
- Can be used to extrapolate muscle fatigue



# EMG

- Measures muscle activation that is a result of nerve stimulation
- Can be used to extrapolate muscle fatigue



# EMG

- Measures muscle activation that is a result of nerve stimulation
- Can be used to extrapolate muscle fatigue





#### sEMG

- Surface sensors, so no needles required!
- Shaving of leg and use of electrode gel



# **BIOPAC MP150 Hardware**

- 4 channels for receiving muscle activation data
- Integrates with BIOPAC AcqKnowledge software suite
- This study will be using EMG hardware





#### **Muscle Selection & Dorsiflexion**









#### **Electrode Placement**





#### **Electrode Placement**







### **BIOPAC ACQKNOWLEDGE Software**





# **Motion Capture**

- Hyper reflective motion capture markers
- Allow for additional 3D modeling and gait analysis
- Useful for future research



# Vicon

- Allows for gait analysis of motion capture data
- Uses 3D data collection utilizing a calibration cube



<u>source</u>











# Conclusion

- assessment of locomotion patterns in different levels of gravity to assist in the creation of training regiments for future astronauts
- 3D Models will be created
- EMG data will be collected and analyzed



alog inp		0.00 Sta
alog inp		12 00.0- S
alog inp		Volts 00.0-
alog inp		co.oo-
MG (C	1 1	-0.00 <sup>22</sup>













# Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Space Studies, UND Kinesiology and BiPed Lab, NASA and the North Dakota Space Grant Consortium for supporting my education and the future of space research and exploration. Finally, I would like to thank Dr. Pablo de Leòn and Dr. Jesse Rhoades, whose technical expertise made this project possible, and Dr. James Casler for his contributions to the project.









#### References

"Active Response Gravity Offload System." NASA. Ed. Diane Chambers. NASA, n.d. Web. 25 Feb. 2017.

- Ackermann, M., & van den Bogert, A. J. (2012). Predictive simulation of gait at low gravity reveals skipping as the preferred locomotion strategy. Journal of Biomechanics, 45(7), 1293-1298. doi:10.1016/j.jbiomech.2012.01.029
- Carr, C. E., & McGee, J. (2009). The Apollo Number: Space Suits, Self-Support, and the Walk-Run Transition. *PLoS One, 4*(8), e6614. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0006614
- Carr, Christopher E., and Dava J. Newman. (2007). "Space Suit Bioenergetics: Cost of Transport During Walking and Running." *Aviation, Space, and Environmental Medicine* 78.12 : 1093-102. Web.

de Leòn, Pablo. "NDX-2 Advanced Lunar Suit." UND Human Spaceflight Laboratory. UND Space Studies, n.d. Web. 25 Feb. 2017.

Jones, Eric M. "Lunar Gaits." Apollo 11 Lunar Surface Journal. 2 November 2010 1995-2001. Web.

"Recommendations for Sensor Locations in Lower Leg or Foot Muscles." SENIAM, SENIAM Project, www.seniam.org/



\_ \_\_ \_\_